

E911 Wireless Service Board Meeting
November 18, 2010
10:00 AM - CESC

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Members Present: | Michael Cline, Chairman John Knapp, Jr. – Co-Chairman Battalion Chief Tracy Hanger Lt. Col. Robert Kemmler Chief Ron Mastin | Chief Henry Stanley Phil Heins Robert Layman Danny Diggs Sam Nixon |
| Members Absent | David Von Moll Denise Smith Mickey Sims | Pat Shumate Linda Cage |
| Remote | Abingdon Culpeper | Roanoke Tidewater |
| Staff Present: | Dorothy Spears-Dean, Coordinator Terry Mayo, Administrative Assistant Lisa Nicholson, Project Manager | Lewis Cassada, Project Manager Susan Siegfried, Commonwealth Counsel |

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Cline called the meeting to order at 10:04AM. Mr. Cline welcomed everyone to the Wireless Services Board meeting, and asked Ms. Dorothy Spears-Dean to introduce our new Board Members. Ms Spears-Dean addressed the Board and introduced Chief Henry Stanley and Sheriff J.D. Diggs. Ms. Spears-Dean did a roll call to the Regional Facilities.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 9, 2010

Mr. Cline asked for changes/additions to the September 9, 2010 minutes, and there was one change. Battalion Chief Tracey Hanger noted one error on page 5 under item #4 on the second page where there is a reference to a 60/30/20 split, and the reference should be a 60/30/10 split instead. A motion was made and 2nd to approve the September 9, 2010 minutes with the change. Mr. Cline called for the vote, and all approved the minutes; **9-0-0** (Mr. Layman had not yet arrived).

3. FY 2010 TRUE-UPS AND UPDATED DISTRIBUTION PERCENTAGES

Ms. Spears-Dean addressed the Board and said that in the Board's material there is a spreadsheet referencing the true-up summary information from the data received from the 2010 true-ups. Ms. Spears-Dean said that all of the information is available on the ISP web-site. Ms. Spears-Dean said that this spreadsheet provides the new 2011 and the old 2010 distribution percentages. There is also a column with the delta comparing FY2011 to FY2010. Ms. Spears-Dean also informed the Board that the information included in their packets also went out to the PSAPS, and included what they submitted for FY2009. Ms. Spears-Dean said that staff has reviewed all the submissions and the data in the spreadsheet is accurate. However, there were some minor adjustments to the localities that fell below the threshold. This is the first year that a minimum funding level of \$40,000 is included in the wireless funding distribution methodology. Ms. Spears-Dean said that this means that PSAPs will receive no less than \$3,333.33 per month regardless of monthly wireless revenue fluctuations. Ms. Spears-Dean also said that personnel expenses for PSAPs decreased slightly, but PSAPs that were able to add personnel, came out ahead. Ms. Spears-Dean asked for questions. There were no questions. Ms. Spears-Dean asked for an endorsement from the Board of the recalculated 2011 fiscal year that the PSAPs will receive no less than \$3,333.33 per month regardless of monthly wireless revenue fluctuations, and for the Board to approve the 3rd column 2011 fiscal year estimates. Mr. Cline called for the motion to approve. Chief Ron Mastin made a motion to approve the 2011 fiscal year that the PSAPs will receive no less than \$3,333.33 per month regardless of monthly wireless revenue fluctuations. Mr. John Knapp 2nd the motion. All approved the motion; **9-0-0** (Mr. Layman had not yet arrived).

4. FY 2012 PSAP GRANT PROGRAM

Mr. Bill Agee addressed the Board and said that he is acting as the Chair today for the PSAP Grant Committee since the previous chair and vice-chair of the Committee were no longer on the Board. Mr. Agee said that the Guidelines were made available to the Attorney Generals' Office for review. Mr. Agee said that some changes were made to the Guidelines which include taking out the PSAP Scholarship Program and adding a "PSAP Education Program" instead. Mr. Agee said that with the PSAP Education Program, PSAPs would apply for a grant for up to \$2,000 a year for Educational Programs. This year the PSAPs will be applying for an Educational Grant for FY2012. Mr. Agee also said that there were other changes made to the Guidelines which were provided to the Board Members in advance (see copy of revised proposed Grant Guidelines). Mr. Agee said that the Committee is recommending that the Wireless Services Board approve the changes for the FY2012 Grant Guidelines. Mr. Cline called for questions from the Board. Mr. Cline asked about the Programmatic Match. Mr. Agee said that the Committee did not address that issue. Mr. Cline said that the Committee is going to have to specify this before approval can be made, and asked for it to be specified before grant approvals are made. Ms. Spears-Dean said that staff will work out a process and that is not a problem. Mr. Cline asked

the Committee if they received comments from the PSAP Community. Mr. Agee said yes, and that the Committee held “public meetings” to discuss the proposed changes. He also said that staff was available at the APCO /NENA conference, and had provided a “fact sheet” to the PSAP Community on the changes. Chief Ron Mastin asked about questions from the APCO/NENA Organization, and wanted to know if their comments and/or recommendations were included in the documents. Mr. Agee said yes, and that the comments and/or recommendations were very minor. Chief Mastin asked for a clarification about the education grant. He wanted to know if every PSAP will get \$2,000. Mr. Agee said that they will have to apply for the \$2,000, and that it is not automatic. Also, as per state guidelines, the PSAP has to foot the bill to attend the training and then submit the receipt within 30 days to get reimbursed. Chief Ron Mastin also asked for clarification on the extension for the grant period, and wanted to know if this process will cause the process to be dragged out. Chief Mastin inquired about having a statement in the guidelines saying that this is a “one time extension.” He said that such a statement would keep the process moving forward. Ms. Spears-Dean addressed the question, and said that there are set phases throughout the grant process to keep the process moving forward once the grant is awarded that the PSAP must do. Ms. Spears-Dean also said that at the January PSAP Grant Committee meeting, extensions will be discussed. The Committee will bring its recommendations before the Board at its January meeting. Ms. Spears-Dean said that the “Education Program” has no extension, and it is a one year program. Mr. Cline called for a motion to approve the Committee’s recommendation. Mr. Knapp made a motion to approve the Committee’s recommendation, and Lt. Robert Kemmler 2nd the motion for approval. The Board voted to approve the Committee’s recommendation; **10-0-0**.

5. OLD BUSINESS

Update on PSAP Town Hall Meetings: Ms. Spears-Dean said that at the last meeting she gave an overview of what the staff was going to do as far as Town Hall meetings. Ms. Spears-Dean said that the participation was not large because of conflicting meetings in the state; however, the meetings were structured and had facilitated dialogue. The Comprehensive Plan’s vision, goals and initiatives were discussed at the meetings (see slides). Ms. Spears-Dean said that she was pleased with the level of participation. A document was developed and posted on the ISP web-site for all to review. Ms. Spears-Dean extracted a few relevant thoughts that came out of the meetings and shared them with the Board. She said that a timeline will be given at the January and March meetings. Ms. Spears-Dean said that there are others in the PSAP Community that staff would like to meet with to get additional input and comments. Mr. Cline asked for questions from the Board. Mr. Knapp asked Ms. Spears-Dean about the comment that was made that “Maryland pays for everything?” Ms. Spears-Dean said that Maryland has a different governance structure that allows the state to make centralized

procurements on behalf of the PSAPs, whereas Virginia makes payments to the PSAPs. Mr. Knapp asked about what percentage of the PSAP Community participated in the Town Hall meetings. Ms. Spears-Dean said about 30% and that is why she would like to go back out again to get more comments. Ms. Spears-Dean said that the EMS Symposium was occurring in Norfolk during the time of the Town Hall meetings, and staff only heard from about a third of the PSAP Communities. Mr. Cline asked if staff is going back out again. Ms. Spears-Dean said staff has already started setting up more meetings beginning in January. Mr. Cline asked for additional questions, and there were none.

Status of ENHANCED 9-1-1 Grant: Ms. Spears-Dean addressed the Board and presented some good news about the Enhanced 911 Grant. Staff had to provide the administrative agency for the grant (NHTSA) information on the “diversion” issue. In its report, the FCC recognized that the “diverted” funds were used to benefit 9-1-1. NHTSA has notified staff that they have satisfied their level of scrutiny. The award was made in 2009 of \$1M and the match was the same so there is \$2M in available funds. The Enhanced 911 Grant was used as a partial source of funding for pilots in Southwest for \$383,691; New River Valley for \$343,000, and Southside for \$259,951.

Mr. Cline asked for questions and comments from the public. Mr. Jim Davis and Mr. Bernard Brown addressed the Board and gave a brief history of their funding request. Mr. Brown and Mr. Davis wanted to know if the Board would give the staff permission to modify the grant they submitted and allow them to close the grant out (they previously had a grant extension). They thanked the Board for the funding. Mr. Cline asked what the Grant Committee’s guidelines or recommendation is on this request. Mr. Agee addressed the Board and that the Committee did not take a stand on this request, as it is still backup capabilities whether it is enhanced or legacy. Ms. Spears-Dean said they are not asking for more money. Mr. Cline asked if staff concurs with the request. Ms. Spears-Dean said yes. Mr. Cline asked if the Board needs a formal approval. Ms. Spears-Dean said yes. Mr. Phil Heins made a motion to approve the request, and Chief Ron 2nd the motion for approval. All approved; **10-0-0** to move forward with the request.

6. NEW BUSINESS

- **PSAP Grant Committee Membership:** Ms. Spears-Dean addressed the Board and said that the PSAP Grant Committee has two new members, and they are Robert Layman and Sheriff J.D. Diggs. One additional appointment will be made for the VACo representative.

- **Staff Action Items for FY 2010 Annual Report:** Ms. Spears-Dean said that the PSAP Community requested that the Wireless 911 Services Billing Agreement be paid “off the top” of the wireless fund. She said that this request has been submitted through the agency budget process, and it looks favorable. The desired outcome is that the Governor will include it in his budget package in mid December.

The Wireless Funding Committee recommended two changes to the Virginia Code, which the Board endorsed. These changes will give the Board flexibility to extend the data collection period, used to calculate the distribution percentages, up to 36 months, minimizing data anomalies from year to year, and allow them to move the payment recalculation effective date to coincide with the beginning of the fiscal year, improving the ability of local governments to forecast wireless revenue in the upcoming year. Ms. Spears-Dean said that Senator Watkins has agreed to be the patron for these two legislative changes.

Mr. Cline asked for any new business, and there were none.

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Cline called for public comments at CESC and at the satellite locations. Mr. Bernard Brown addressed the Board and introduced Mr. Allen Weese and Ms. Julie McKersher. They thanked the Board for approving the guidelines. They are requesting that the same assistance given to the Fall APCO/NENA Conference be extended to the Spring Conference. Mr. Cline asked for discussions or questions from the Board. Mr. Cline asked for clarification of what Board action they wanted. Mr. Bernard Brown said that they (NENA) are requesting \$40,000 as in previous years for their Spring Conference from the Board. Ms. Spears-Dean said that this will be the last time the Board will do this, as the PSAP Education Program will kick in. Ms. Spears-Dean said that the NENA Organization is stuck out there because of timing, and that there is funding available to meet the needs of the Chapter. Mr. Cline called for a motion to approve the allocation for the funds for the Spring Conference. Lt. Robert Kemmler made a motion to approve the \$40,000 allocation to NENA, and Mr. John Knapp 2nd the motion for approval. All approved; **10-0-0**.

Mr. Cline added a new item to the agenda, and that is the changing of the Board’s name and taking out the word “Wireless.” Mr. Cline said that the Board is now addressing more than Wireless. Mr. Cline also commented that this may be something that staff should reach out to Senator Watkins about and ask him to patron this change. Mr. Nixon said there is an opportunity to make this happen in this session, and now is the time to contemplate this change. Mr. Knapp asked if we are contemplating removing the name “Wireless?” Mr. Knapp said he has no objection to the change. Lt. Robert Kemmler asked “if the name is changed

would it affect funding?” Ms. Spears-Dean said that there is no clear distinction on funding between landline and wireless. Ms. Spears-Dean does not think there would be a problem. Mr. Nixon clarified that he did not see any problems with the name change. Ms. Susan Siegfried commented that she thinks staff can go forward with the Attorney Generals’ Office with this issue. Mr. Layman said he thought that it would be a problem because the money the Board is collecting is coming from the “Wireless Fund.” Mr. Nixon said it is only to the change the name and this in no way will affect or impact funding, etc. Mr. Cline called for a motion to pursue deleting the word “Wireless” from the E911 Services Board. Mr. John Knapp made a motion to approve the change, and Mr. Nixon 2nd the motion for approval. All approved; **10-0-0**. Mr. Cline said that he will be waiting to hear from the Attorney Generals’ Office pending review by staff and review of the Attorney General before having Senator Watkins bring forth the name change.

Mr. Agee addressed the Board asked about the discussion that took place at the last Board meeting regarding transfers from the Wireless Fund. Mr. Cline said he will get with staff to determine what discussion has taken place. Chief Ron Mastin asked about a “white paper” to address the issues as to what Mr. Agee was referring to. Mr. Cline said he will get with staff to visit this issue.

Mr. Allen Weese addressed the Board and talked about NG911.

8. ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING

Mr. Cline called for a motion to adjourn the meeting of the Board at 11:58 AM. All approved, and the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted:

Terry D. Mayo

(Date)

| PSAP | FY2010% | FY2010 Rank | FY2011% Est. | FY2011 Rank Est. | Est FY11 Funding Unadjusted | Est FY11 Funding \$40k Min Adjusted | Percentage year/year change |
|---|----------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fairfax County PSCC | 16.2250% | 1 | 18.3642% | 1 | \$ 4,920,328.49 | \$ 4,866,346.77 | 13.2% |
| Virginia Beach Communications Division | 5.6792% | 2 | 6.6777% | 2 | \$ 1,789,172.17 | \$ 1,769,542.87 | 17.6% |
| Norfolk Emergency Services | 4.9314% | 5 | 5.1576% | 3 | \$ 1,381,892.81 | \$ 1,366,731.84 | 4.6% |
| Chesapeake Police Communications | 5.1576% | 4 | 4.8239% | 4 | \$ 1,292,476.34 | \$ 1,278,296.37 | -6.5% |
| Richmond Police Communications | 2.4321% | 11 | 4.5461% | 5 | \$ 1,218,034.15 | \$ 1,204,670.90 | 86.9% |
| Henrico County | 4.3312% | 6 | 4.2750% | 6 | \$ 1,145,415.03 | \$ 1,132,848.50 | -1.3% |
| Chesterfield County ECC | 3.3896% | 8 | 3.3065% | 7 | \$ 885,921.49 | \$ 876,201.91 | -2.5% |
| Newport News Police Communications | 2.7456% | 10 | 2.7507% | 8 | \$ 737,002.32 | \$ 728,916.55 | 0.2% |
| Roanoke City Communications Dept. | 2.4139% | 14 | 2.6653% | 9 | \$ 714,109.13 | \$ 706,274.52 | 10.4% |
| Prince William County PSCC | 3.1629% | 9 | 2.5541% | 10 | \$ 684,323.27 | \$ 676,815.44 | -19.2% |
| Arlington County PSCC | 4.2230% | 7 | 2.5034% | 11 | \$ 670,742.51 | \$ 663,383.69 | -40.7% |
| Loudoun County Fire Communications | 2.4207% | 13 | 2.3690% | 12 | \$ 634,725.29 | \$ 627,761.62 | -2.1% |
| Alexandria Department of Emergency Communications | 2.4251% | 12 | 2.1109% | 13 | \$ 565,569.41 | \$ 559,364.46 | -13.0% |
| Petersburg Police Communications | 1.4427% | 17 | 1.8459% | 14 | \$ 494,566.57 | \$ 489,140.60 | 27.9% |
| Charlottesville, UVA, Albemarle County ECC | 2.0384% | 15 | 1.7563% | 15 | \$ 470,553.44 | \$ 465,390.92 | -13.8% |
| Portsmouth Police Communications | 5.3084% | 3 | 1.7448% | 16 | \$ 467,494.35 | \$ 462,365.40 | -67.1% |
| Hampton Police Communications | 1.6347% | 16 | 1.5738% | 17 | \$ 421,658.74 | \$ 417,032.66 | -3.7% |
| Hanover County ECC | 1.0043% | 20 | 1.2851% | 18 | \$ 344,323.94 | \$ 340,546.31 | 28.0% |
| York County Fire Communications | 0.8536% | 23 | 1.1410% | 19 | \$ 305,702.97 | \$ 302,349.05 | 33.7% |
| Roanoke County Police Communications | 0.9189% | 22 | 1.1340% | 20 | \$ 303,820.56 | \$ 300,487.29 | 23.4% |
| Lynchburg ECC | 1.0529% | 19 | 1.0964% | 21 | \$ 293,761.78 | \$ 290,538.87 | 4.1% |
| Campbell County | 1.0836% | 18 | 1.0778% | 22 | \$ 288,775.38 | \$ 285,607.18 | -0.5% |
| Harrisonburg - Rockingham ECC | 0.9331% | 21 | 0.9995% | 23 | \$ 267,801.48 | \$ 264,863.39 | 7.1% |
| Suffolk Police Communications | 0.7809% | 24 | 0.7868% | 24 | \$ 210,795.22 | \$ 208,482.56 | 0.8% |
| Stafford County Sheriff's Communications | 0.7683% | 25 | 0.7840% | 25 | \$ 210,059.53 | \$ 207,754.93 | 2.0% |
| James City County ECC | 0.6864% | 26 | 0.7074% | 26 | \$ 189,545.00 | \$ 187,465.47 | 3.1% |
| Spotsylvania Sheriff's Office Communications | 0.6485% | 27 | 0.6516% | 27 | \$ 174,580.77 | \$ 172,665.42 | 0.5% |
| Prince George County | 0.5399% | 29 | 0.6272% | 28 | \$ 168,058.00 | \$ 166,214.20 | 16.2% |
| Mecklenburg County | 0.4180% | 36 | 0.5544% | 29 | \$ 148,542.19 | \$ 146,912.51 | 32.6% |
| Page County EOC | 0.2565% | 53 | 0.5332% | 30 | \$ 142,850.42 | \$ 141,283.18 | 107.8% |
| Salem Police Communications | 0.3440% | 41 | 0.5321% | 31 | \$ 142,560.97 | \$ 140,996.91 | 54.7% |
| Martinsville - Henry County 9-1-1 | 0.5103% | 31 | 0.5218% | 32 | \$ 139,803.42 | \$ 138,269.61 | 2.3% |
| Augusta County | 0.5160% | 30 | 0.5052% | 33 | \$ 135,362.19 | \$ 133,877.11 | -2.1% |
| Fauquier County Sheriff's Office (& Warrenton) | 0.3761% | 38 | 0.5021% | 34 | \$ 134,528.69 | \$ 133,052.76 | 33.5% |
| Danville Emergency Services | 0.4755% | 32 | 0.4547% | 35 | \$ 121,822.18 | \$ 120,485.65 | -4.4% |
| Rockbridge Regional PSCC | 0.3844% | 37 | 0.4449% | 36 | \$ 119,193.05 | \$ 117,885.36 | 15.7% |
| Fredericksburg Police Communications | 0.5811% | 28 | 0.4441% | 37 | \$ 118,987.51 | \$ 117,682.07 | -23.6% |
| Sussex County | 0.1513% | 82 | 0.4260% | 38 | \$ 114,140.11 | \$ 112,887.86 | 181.6% |
| Orange County Communications | 0.3450% | 40 | 0.4219% | 39 | \$ 113,029.54 | \$ 111,789.47 | 22.3% |
| Waynesboro 9-1-1 Communications | 0.4615% | 33 | 0.4065% | 40 | \$ 108,904.10 | \$ 107,709.29 | -11.9% |
| Culpeper Joint 9-1-1 Center | 0.3290% | 43 | 0.3798% | 41 | \$ 101,771.97 | \$ 100,655.42 | 15.4% |
| Bedford Communications Center | 0.3412% | 42 | 0.3746% | 42 | \$ 100,366.04 | \$ 99,264.91 | 9.8% |
| Eastern Shore 9-1-1 | 0.4226% | 35 | 0.3678% | 43 | \$ 98,550.95 | \$ 97,469.73 | -13.0% |
| Shenandoah County Emergency Communications | 0.3158% | 48 | 0.3209% | 44 | \$ 85,980.61 | \$ 85,037.30 | 1.6% |
| Isle of Wight Sheriff's Office | 0.3274% | 44 | 0.3101% | 45 | \$ 83,093.70 | \$ 82,182.07 | -5.3% |
| King George County | 0.3003% | 50 | 0.3079% | 46 | \$ 82,499.60 | \$ 81,594.49 | 2.6% |
| Colonial Heights 9-1-1 Communications | 0.2959% | 51 | 0.3039% | 47 | \$ 81,437.54 | \$ 80,544.07 | 2.7% |
| Twin County E-911 | 0.3258% | 45 | 0.2984% | 48 | \$ 79,939.17 | \$ 79,062.15 | -8.4% |
| Bristol 9-1-1 Communications | 0.2717% | 52 | 0.2918% | 49 | \$ 78,176.22 | \$ 77,318.54 | 7.4% |
| Brunswick County | 0.3248% | 46 | 0.2874% | 50 | \$ 77,010.76 | \$ 76,165.86 | -11.5% |
| Caroline County | 0.3243% | 47 | 0.2632% | 51 | \$ 70,514.87 | \$ 69,741.24 | -18.8% |
| Blacksburg Police Communications | 0.2453% | 54 | 0.2620% | 52 | \$ 70,193.38 | \$ 69,423.28 | 6.8% |
| Franklin City Police Communications | 0.2022% | 66 | 0.2609% | 53 | \$ 69,911.60 | \$ 69,144.59 | 29.0% |
| Amherst County Emergency Communications | 0.2367% | 56 | 0.2599% | 54 | \$ 69,638.73 | \$ 68,874.72 | 9.8% |
| Pittsylvania County Emergency Management | 0.2291% | 59 | 0.2551% | 55 | \$ 68,355.98 | \$ 67,606.03 | 11.4% |
| Farmville Emergency Communications Center | 0.3026% | 49 | 0.2526% | 56 | \$ 67,688.51 | \$ 66,945.89 | -16.5% |
| Emporia Police Communications | 0.1475% | 85 | 0.2465% | 57 | \$ 66,037.76 | \$ 65,313.25 | 67.1% |
| Fluvanna County | 0.2381% | 55 | 0.2413% | 58 | \$ 64,645.92 | \$ 63,936.68 | 1.3% |
| Dickenson County | 0.1489% | 83 | 0.2390% | 59 | \$ 64,037.27 | \$ 63,334.71 | 60.5% |
| Frederick County PSCC | 0.2338% | 57 | 0.2335% | 60 | \$ 62,564.70 | \$ 61,878.30 | -0.1% |
| Staunton 9-1-1 Communications | 0.2226% | 63 | 0.2308% | 61 | \$ 61,840.44 | \$ 61,161.98 | 3.7% |
| Surry County | 0.2248% | 62 | 0.2295% | 62 | \$ 61,477.36 | \$ 60,802.88 | 2.1% |
| Franklin County | 0.2140% | 65 | 0.2220% | 63 | \$ 59,485.25 | \$ 58,832.63 | 3.8% |
| Washington County | 0.1812% | 71 | 0.2168% | 64 | \$ 58,088.34 | \$ 57,451.05 | 19.6% |
| Halifax County | 0.2337% | 58 | 0.2168% | 65 | \$ 58,084.18 | \$ 57,446.93 | -7.2% |
| Tazewell County | 0.2251% | 61 | 0.2057% | 66 | \$ 55,107.73 | \$ 54,503.13 | -8.6% |
| Botetourt County GIS-Communications | 0.2160% | 64 | 0.1936% | 67 | \$ 51,872.86 | \$ 51,303.76 | -10.4% |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|----|---------------|----|---------------|--------|
| Hopewell Police Communications | 0.1544% | 80 | 0.1899% | 68 | \$ | 50,890.55 | \$ | 50,332.22 | 23.0% |
| Appomattox County | 0.1834% | 69 | 0.1871% | 69 | \$ | 50,142.08 | \$ | 49,591.96 | 2.0% |
| Floyd County | 0.3567% | 39 | 0.1798% | 70 | \$ | 48,168.01 | \$ | 47,639.55 | -49.6% |
| Louisa County Sheriff's Office | 0.1883% | 67 | 0.1780% | 71 | \$ | 47,684.21 | \$ | 47,161.06 | -5.5% |
| Winchester Fire/Rescue Communications | 0.1845% | 68 | 0.1632% | 72 | \$ | 43,714.49 | \$ | 43,234.89 | -11.6% |
| Lunenburg County | 0.1591% | 77 | 0.1600% | 73 | \$ | 42,869.41 | \$ | 42,399.09 | 0.6% |
| Scott County | 0.1592% | 76 | 0.1594% | 74 | \$ | 42,702.93 | \$ | 42,234.42 | 0.1% |
| Powhatan County Emergency Services | 0.1611% | 75 | 0.1593% | 75 | \$ | 42,684.39 | \$ | 42,216.09 | -1.1% |
| West Point 9-1-1 Communications | 0.1235% | 123 | 0.1524% | 76 | \$ | 40,827.23 | \$ | 40,379.31 | 23.4% |
| Middlesex County | 0.1554% | 79 | 0.1462% | 77 | \$ | 39,175.04 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -5.9% |
| Gloucester County | 0.1678% | 73 | 0.1458% | 78 | \$ | 39,057.76 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -13.1% |
| Bland County | 0.1581% | 78 | 0.1446% | 79 | \$ | 38,746.14 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -8.5% |
| Montgomery County | 0.1438% | 89 | 0.1417% | 80 | \$ | 37,966.65 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -1.4% |
| Nelson County | 0.1439% | 88 | 0.1412% | 81 | \$ | 37,826.81 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -1.9% |
| Christiansburg Police Communications | 0.1452% | 87 | 0.1386% | 82 | \$ | 37,135.95 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -4.5% |
| Clarke County 9-1-1 | 0.1361% | 103 | 0.1384% | 83 | \$ | 37,093.04 | \$ | 40,000.00 | 1.7% |
| Pulaski County | 0.1479% | 84 | 0.1369% | 84 | \$ | 36,671.90 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.4% |
| Charlotte County | 0.1412% | 93 | 0.1363% | 85 | \$ | 36,508.86 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -3.5% |
| Alleghany County | 0.1323% | 107 | 0.1361% | 86 | \$ | 36,478.02 | \$ | 40,000.00 | 2.9% |
| Madison County | 0.1453% | 86 | 0.1355% | 87 | \$ | 36,301.59 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -6.8% |
| Covington 9-1-1 Communications | 0.1650% | 74 | 0.1347% | 88 | \$ | 36,086.98 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -18.4% |
| Dinwiddie County | 0.2267% | 60 | 0.1346% | 89 | \$ | 36,066.17 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -40.6% |
| Wise County | 0.1412% | 94 | 0.1339% | 90 | \$ | 35,888.58 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -5.1% |
| Buckingham County | 0.1686% | 72 | 0.1333% | 91 | \$ | 35,716.63 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -20.9% |
| Radford Police Communications | 0.1421% | 91 | 0.1330% | 92 | \$ | 35,630.47 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -6.4% |
| Nottoway County | 0.1529% | 81 | 0.1326% | 93 | \$ | 35,518.95 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -13.3% |
| Charles City County | 0.1251% | 120 | 0.1311% | 94 | \$ | 35,117.16 | \$ | 40,000.00 | 4.8% |
| Bath County | 0.1407% | 95 | 0.1308% | 95 | \$ | 35,058.59 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.0% |
| Westmoreland County | 0.1434% | 90 | 0.1305% | 96 | \$ | 34,964.52 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -9.0% |
| Warren County | 0.1403% | 97 | 0.1303% | 97 | \$ | 34,910.45 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.1% |
| Highland County | 0.1395% | 98 | 0.1295% | 98 | \$ | 34,697.85 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.2% |
| Rappahannock County | 0.1296% | 111 | 0.1288% | 99 | \$ | 34,516.51 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -0.6% |
| Richmond County | 0.1376% | 100 | 0.1282% | 100 | \$ | 34,344.29 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -6.8% |
| Greensville Sheriff's Communications | 0.1225% | 124 | 0.1276% | 101 | \$ | 34,180.68 | \$ | 40,000.00 | 4.2% |
| Russell County | 0.1327% | 106 | 0.1263% | 102 | \$ | 33,841.28 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -4.8% |
| Norton 9-1-1 Communications | 0.1364% | 102 | 0.1260% | 103 | \$ | 33,770.23 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.6% |
| Mathews County | 0.1345% | 105 | 0.1256% | 104 | \$ | 33,648.07 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -6.6% |
| Wytheville Public Safety E-911 | 0.1300% | 110 | 0.1255% | 105 | \$ | 33,621.05 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -3.5% |
| King William County | 0.1392% | 99 | 0.1251% | 106 | \$ | 33,529.72 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -10.1% |
| Wythe County | 0.1371% | 101 | 0.1245% | 107 | \$ | 33,358.81 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -9.2% |
| Patrick County | 0.1347% | 104 | 0.1243% | 108 | \$ | 33,310.58 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.7% |
| Chincoteague Police | 0.1270% | 113 | 0.1243% | 109 | \$ | 33,308.57 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -2.1% |
| Goochland County | 0.1420% | 92 | 0.1227% | 110 | \$ | 32,880.79 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -13.6% |
| New Kent County | 0.1305% | 109 | 0.1222% | 111 | \$ | 32,737.53 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -6.4% |
| Cumberland County | 0.1827% | 70 | 0.1208% | 112 | \$ | 32,377.77 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -33.9% |
| Lancaster County | 0.1309% | 108 | 0.1208% | 113 | \$ | 32,374.06 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.7% |
| Smyth County 9-1-1 | 0.1259% | 116 | 0.1205% | 114 | \$ | 32,274.09 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -4.3% |
| Craig County | 0.1282% | 112 | 0.1182% | 115 | \$ | 31,677.91 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.8% |
| Lee County | 0.1256% | 118 | 0.1181% | 116 | \$ | 31,652.86 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -5.9% |
| Buchanan County | 0.1257% | 117 | 0.1166% | 117 | \$ | 31,234.27 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -7.3% |
| Amelia County | 0.1403% | 96 | 0.1160% | 118 | \$ | 31,070.71 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -17.3% |
| King & Queen County | 0.1255% | 119 | 0.1151% | 119 | \$ | 30,848.64 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -8.3% |
| Southampton County | 0.1266% | 115 | 0.1140% | 120 | \$ | 30,537.66 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -10.0% |
| Essex County | 0.1236% | 122 | 0.1132% | 121 | \$ | 30,329.90 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -8.4% |
| Northumberland County | 0.1266% | 114 | 0.1120% | 122 | \$ | 30,014.09 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -11.5% |
| Greene County | 0.1237% | 121 | 0.1120% | 123 | \$ | 30,014.09 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -9.4% |
| Giles County | 0.1225% | 125 | 0.1120% | 124 | \$ | 30,014.09 | \$ | 40,000.00 | -8.5% |
| Vinton 9-1-1 Communications | 0.4535% | 34 | 0.0000% | n/a | | | | | |
| | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | \$ | 26,793,075.62 | \$ | 26,793,075.62 | |

The \$26.7M figure above was the actual total distribution for FY10. Figure used to estimate for FY11 since revenues appear to remain flat.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board

Michael M. Cline
Chairman
VDEM

Dorothy Spears-Dean
PSC Coordinator
(804) 416-6201

John W. Knapp, Jr.
Vice-Chairman
Verizon

PROPOSED

David A. Von Moll
Treasurer
Comptroller

**Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board
PSAP Grant Program
FY12 Grant Guidelines**

Linda W. Cage
Mecklenburg County

Sheriff J. D. "Danny" Diggs
York County

Tracy Hanger
City of Hampton

(Effective November 19, 2010)

Philip Heins
Hanover County

**NOTE: The PSAP Grant Program application deadline of
October 31st has been extended to December 21, 2010
for the FY12 grant cycle.**

Lt. Colonel Robert Kemmler
Virginia State Police

Robert Layman
AT & T

Chief Ron Mastin
Fairfax County

Sam Nixon
VITA

Pat B. Shumate
Roanoke County

Mickey L. Sims
Bugs Island
Telephone Cooperative

Col. Henry W. Stanley, Jr.
Henrico County

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 4 |
| INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| What is the PSAP Grant Program? | 6 |
| Grant Committee..... | 7 |
| Definitions..... | 8 |
| Eligibility | 10 |
| Amount Available and Required Match | 10 |
| Grant Period..... | 11 |
| Multi-Year Funding | 12 |
| How to Apply/Deadline | 12 |
| FUNDING GUIDELINES..... | 13 |
| Grant Cycle..... | 13 |
| Regional Initiatives | 14 |
| Consolidation Projects | 15 |
| Statement of Need..... | 16 |
| Comprehensive Project Description | 16 |
| Budget Narrative..... | 17 |
| Evaluation Plan | 17 |
| Review Process | 18 |
| PROGRAM BRIEFS | 19 |
| WIRELESS E-911 PSAP EDUCATION PROGRAM | 19 |
| Purpose..... | 19 |
| Funding Allocation | 19 |
| Program Concept | 19 |
| Goals and Objectives | 19 |
| Implementation | 19 |
| Outcomes/Evaluation..... | 20 |
| WIRELESS E-911 CONTINUITY AND CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM | 21 |
| Purpose..... | 21 |
| Funding Allocation | 21 |
| Program Concept | 21 |
| Goals and Objectives | 21 |
| Implementation | 22 |
| Outcomes/Evaluation..... | 22 |
| WIRELESS E-911 ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | 23 |
| Purpose..... | 23 |
| Funding Allocation | 23 |
| Program Concept | 23 |
| Goals and Objectives | 23 |
| Implementation | 23 |
| Outcomes/Evaluation..... | 24 |

| | |
|--|----|
| APPENDICES | 25 |
| APPENDIX A: TIERS..... | 25 |
| Out of Service | 25 |
| Non-Vendor Supported..... | 25 |
| Technically Outdated..... | 25 |
| Strengthen | 25 |
| APPENDIX B: PRIORITIES | 26 |
| Continuity and Consolidation Program | 26 |
| Enhancement Program..... | 26 |
| APPENDIX C: CONTINUITY AND CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM RANKINGS..... | 28 |
| APPENDIX D: ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM RANKINGS..... | 31 |
| APPENDIX E: GIS-RELATED GRANT REQUEST PRIORITY MATRIX | 33 |
| APPENDIX F: FINANCIAL AND PROGRAMMATIC REPORT | 34 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The PSAP Grant Program is a multi-million dollar grant program administered by the Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board. The primary purpose of this program is to financially assist Virginia primary PSAPs with the purchase of equipment and services that support the continuity and enhancement of wireless E-911. Any Virginia primary PSAP that supports wireless E-911 is eligible to apply for and receive these funds either as a standalone applicant or as part of a regional initiative or a consolidation project, with the exception of individual PSAP application for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program. Grant awards cannot exceed the following:

- \$2,000 per primary PSAP for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program
- \$150,000 for an individual primary PSAP
- \$325,000 for a regional initiative involving two primary PSAPs or a secondary consolidation
- \$375,000 for a regional initiative involving three primary PSAPs
- \$425,000 for a regional initiative involving four primary PSAPs
- \$475,000 for a regional initiative involving five or more primary PSAPs
- \$500,000 for an initial consolidation project

The deadline to apply for a grant each year is October 31st. Absolutely no grant applications, with the exception of emergency requests, will be considered for the current grant cycle submitted after that time. Primary PSAPs need to submit their requests using the grant application on VITA's Integrated Services Program website. The Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program will have a twelve month grant period in which to expend their grant award. Individual PSAP and regional initiative applicants will have a twenty-four month grant period in which to expend their grant awards. Consolidation project applicants will have a thirty-six month grant period in which to expend their grant awards. All grant periods will run consistent with the Commonwealth's fiscal year. However, this program cannot guarantee grant funding to sustain multi-year projects.

The chairperson of the Board will make appointments to the PSAP Grant Program's Grant Committee. Each year, in anticipation of the upcoming grant cycle, the Grant Committee will recommend to the Board the grant funding priorities, as well as any changes to the existing grant guidelines or administration of the grant program. The final authority to administer the PSAP Grant Program rests with the Board. The Board can amend, alter, or change the guidelines, as well as require the Grant Committee to undertake additional responsibilities not already specified in the guidelines.

Primary PSAPs have three programs through which to apply for grant funds. These programs are the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program, the Wireless E-911 Continuity and Consolidation Program and the Wireless E-911 Enhancement Program. The purpose of the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program is to provide 9-1-1-specific

group education/training opportunities throughout the Commonwealth. Each year, approved grants in this program will receive funding from the top of the available funds in the PSAP Grant Program before the funding for the Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement projects. The purpose of the Continuity and Consolidation Program is to provide funding to primary PSAPs for projects designed to replace or upgrade wireless E-911 equipment and services that are out of service, without vendor support, technically outdated, or can no longer perform at an established minimum functional standard to sustain an acceptable level of service to the public. Each year, after the approved funds for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program are identified, approved grants in this program will receive no less than 80% of the remaining available funds in the PSAP Grant Program. However, should all approved grants for the Continuity and Consolidation Program for a specific year be fully funded, then any funds left from the 80% in that same year may be allocated for other grant programs, such as the Enhancement Program, as deemed appropriate by the Committee and/or Board. The purpose of the Enhancement Program is to provide funding to primary PSAPs for projects designed to strengthen, broaden or increase the current wireless E-911 operations through equipment, PSAP staff development, or service beyond that PSAP's current capabilities. Each year, this program will receive the remaining percentage of the available funds in the PSAP Grant Program.

All applications submitted by primary PSAPs for these grant programs must include a statement of need, a comprehensive project description, a budget, a budget narrative, and an evaluation plan. Awards will be made by the Board based on the substance of the application relative to the established funding priorities.

INTRODUCTION

What is the PSAP Grant Program?

The PSAP Grant Program is a multi-million dollar grant program for Virginia primary PSAPs to provide financial assistance to these organizations based on demonstrated need. Funding for this program is being made available through the Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board (the "Board") to support wireless E-911. The primary goal is to financially assist primary PSAPs with the purchase of equipment and services that support the continuity and enhancement of wireless E-911, including voluntary PSAP consolidation projects.

The PSAP Grant Program has been established in the Code of Virginia, §56-484.17:

Wireless E-911 Fund; uses of Fund; enforcement; audit required:

The remaining 10% of the fund and any remaining funds for ~~from~~ the previous fiscal year from the 30% for the CMRS providers shall be distributed to the PSAPs or on behalf of PSAPs based on grant requests received by the Board each fiscal year. The Board shall establish criteria for receiving and making grants from the Fund, including procedures for determining the amount of a grant and payment schedule; however, the grants must be to the benefit of wireless E-911. Any grant funding that has not been committed by the Board by the end of the fiscal year shall be distributed to the PSAPs based on the same distribution percentage used during the fiscal year in which the funding was collected; however, the Board may retain some or all of this uncommitted funding for an identified funding need in the next fiscal year.

In regards to the PSAP Grant Program, the Board is authorized to undertake the following actions:

1. Distribute 10% of the Wireless E-911 Fund collected during the current fiscal year as PSAP grants to support Wireless E-911.
2. Distribute any remaining funds from the 30% CMRS earmark collected during the previous fiscal year as PSAP grants to support wireless E-911.
3. Distribute to the PSAPs any uncommitted grant funding at the end of each fiscal year based on the same distribution percentage used during the fiscal year in which the funds were collected.
4. Retain any of the uncommitted grant or CMRS funding for an identified funding need in the upcoming fiscal year, ~~which may include specified grant funding or special projects for the next fiscal year.~~

NOTE: Requests for exceptions to the guidelines contained within this document either in grant amounts or timeline for grant submission will not normally be allowed and only under an extreme situation will such a request be considered.

This document contains information about the rules and requirements governing the PSAP Grant Program, the types of projects eligible for funding, and instructions for completing and submitting the grant application. However, the final authority to administer this grant program rests with the Board. The Board can amend, alter, or change these guidelines, as well as require the Grant Committee to undertake additional responsibilities not already specified in the guidelines.

Grant Committee

The Board Chair will make appointments to the Grant Committee for the PSAP Grant Program. Membership to the Grant Committee will be staggered. Committee appointments will be made for three-year terms and members can be reappointed for only one additional consecutive term. Committee members are appointed and serve at the pleasure of the Board's chairperson. At all times, the Grant Committee shall have at least two Board members.

Furthermore, members of the Grant Committee should adequately represent the geographic diversity of the Commonwealth, the varied operational capacities of Virginia primary PSAPs, and public safety professional organizations. Accordingly a nine-person Grant Committee is established consisting of the following individuals: two Board members (one of which will chair the committee), four primary PSAP representatives (two of which will represent APCO and NENA), and three at-large members. Members with the same organizational affiliation will have different reappointment/replacement schedules. One schedule will consist of one Board member (co-chair), two primary PSAP representatives (one of which will represent APCO), and two at-large members. The other schedule will consist of one Board member (chair), two primary PSAP representatives (one of which will represent NENA), and one at-large members.

Each year, in anticipation of the upcoming grant cycle, the Grant Committee will recommend the grant funding priorities and tiers for the continuity and enhancement of wireless E-911 equipment and services to the Board. After review of priorities and tiers, a combined list for each grant award type, continuity and consolidation and enhancement, will be drafted to assist the Committee with review and approval for the upcoming grant cycle(s). In addition, the Grant Committee will make recommendations to the Board regarding the creation and/or modification of previously established program guidelines, the development of any criteria for awarding grants, and the proposal of any necessary changes to the administration of the PSAP Grant Program.

Definitions

CMRS – means mobile telecommunications services as defined in the federal Mobile Telecommunications Sourcing Act, 4 U.S.C. § 124, as amended.

CMRS provider – means an entity authorized by the Federal Communications Commission to provide CMRS within the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Consolidation – means the combining of two or more primary PSAPs into a single physically combined primary PSAP with an integrated management structure established by MOUs that serves the same constituent population previously served by the independent primary PSAPs. In addition, all parties must benefit directly from the consolidation activities implemented with a grant award.

Consolidation Project – means a project that meets the narrow criteria of “consolidation”. “Shared resource” or “co-location” projects are considered regional initiatives. See *“Initial Consolidation”* and *“Secondary Consolidation”*.

Emergency Grant Request – means a request for funding outside the normal grant cycle timeline, which if not received, would severely impair the daily operations of the PSAP. Such emergency requests should be limited to those basic minimal required items that are no longer functioning in their entirety in the PSAP (e.g. - CPE, Mapping System, Voice Recorder or CAD, etc). An emergency request should not involve upgrades or replacement of individual items such as PCs, nor should the need for funding be the result of poor planning on the part of the PSAP. Such requests, because of their emergency nature, may not allow enough time for prior review by the Grant Committee, and instead, should be presented directly to the Board for consideration. Applicants need to submit the equivalent of the information requested in the application.

Exceptional Grant Request – means a grant request submitted during the normal grant cycle that does not meet the general guidelines, such as a grant in excess of the grant limit amount. Exceptional grant requests are not normally considered favorably by the Grant Committee for recommendation for approval to the Wireless Services Board, and as such, are not encouraged.

Initial Consolidation – means the initial, primary, or first consolidation of two or more primary PSAPs.

Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) – means a formal document that guides and directs the parties of a Regional or Consolidation Initiative in regards to their affiliation and working relationship, inclusive of anticipated future arrangements, for the purposes outlined in the grant application submitted by the parties.

Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) – means a facility equipped and staffed on a 24-hour basis to receive and process E-911 calls or that intends to receive and process E-911 calls and has notified CMRS providers in its jurisdiction of its intention to receive and process such calls.

Primary Public Safety Answering Point – means a PSAP that receives the initial wireless E-911 call as recognized by the Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board as eligible to receive wireless funding. Only these specific PSAPs may participate in the PSAP Grant Program.

Regional Initiative – means a project or projects involving multiple primary PSAPs. Regional initiatives can include projects from the Continuity and Consolidation Program, the Enhancement Program, or both. Regional initiatives are interpreted broadly. Included in this definition are regional approaches aimed at consolidating the components that support wireless E-911, such as shared equipment, shared resources, and/or the co-location of technology. All participants must benefit directly from the regional activities implemented with the grant award.

Secondary Consolidation – means the consolidation of a primary or consolidated PSAP with an already consolidated PSAP prior to the expiration of the 3 year period of the initial consolidation project (example PSAP for County and/or City X joining the consolidated PSAP already serving Counties and/or Cities Y and Z).

Supplanting – Grant funds are to be used to supplement the portion of the local governments' budgets that pertain to PSAPs. Grant funds are not to replace any funds which would have been budgeted for the grant-funded purpose in the absence of the grant. This means that a locality cannot replace general funds that have been allocated for a specific PSAP project with grant funds awarded for the same project in the same fiscal year.

Wireless E-911 Fund – means a dedicated fund consisting of all moneys collected pursuant to the Wireless E-911 surcharge, as well as any additional funds otherwise allocated or donated to the Wireless E-911 Fund.

Wireless E-911 Surcharge – means a monthly fee of \$.75 billed by each CMRS provider and CMRS reseller on each CMRS number of a customer with a place of primary use in Virginia.

Eligibility

Any Virginia primary PSAP that supports wireless E-911 is eligible to apply for and receive these funds. Additional consideration will be given to new primary PSAP projects that are not currently being supported by a local, state or federal grant and to primary PSAPs that can demonstrate financial need. In order for a funding request from a primary PSAP to be considered, the PSAP must have already submitted any required true-up for the previous FY by the identified deadline, unless an exception has been granted by the PSC Coordinator. Certain projects, such as GIS and personnel recruitment and retention, shall require data sharing with the Commonwealth of Virginia, as well as other PSAPs, when appropriate. This requirement will be stated in the grant award.

Grant funds are to be used to supplement the portion of local governments' budgets that pertain to PSAPs, not replace any funds which would have been budgeted for the grant-funded purpose in the absence of the grant. This means that a locality cannot replace general funds that have been allocated for a specific PSAP project with grant funds awarded for the same project in the same fiscal year

Amount Available and Required Match

A primary PSAP can submit individual project applications up to the individual grant funding limits, and participate in no more than one regional initiative in a grant cycle.

If a PSAP participates in a consolidation project, then the PSAP is prohibited from participating in either an individual PSAP or a regional initiative grant until the consolidation project is complete and the grant has been fully executed (closed out); however, they will still be eligible for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program. For three years from the closeout date of the initial consolidation project, the consolidated PSAP may not request funding for additional initial consolidation projects. A PSAP involved in an initial consolidation project may participate in a secondary consolidation project with other PSAPs only after the close out of the initial project, but before the three year time period has expired. However, the funding level for the secondary consolidation will be commensurate with a regional initiative. The only exception for funding would be an emergency appeal made by a single primary PSAP participating in an ongoing consolidation project faced with a critical need related to maintaining current operations and unrelated to the consolidation effort. An appeal for this exception would need to be made directly to the Board and would be limited to \$150,000. Applicants need to submit the equivalent of the information requested in the application.

In addition, funding requests from either a single primary PSAP or for a regional initiative can include multiple projects from different grant programmatic areas. Funding requests for PSAP consolidation projects can only be used for equipment and services that directly relate to a physical consolidation. Funding requests for more than one project in a single request will need to be prioritized by the applicant. Each project should include its own cost schedule. In the event that the Board exercises its discretion and recommends a partial or incomplete award, the Grant Committee will work with the primary PSAP listed on the grant application to determine an optimal solution.

Grant awards cannot exceed the following:

- \$2,000 per primary PSAP for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program
- \$150,000 for an individual primary PSAP
- \$325,000 for a regional initiative involving two primary PSAPs or a secondary consolidation
- \$375,000 for a regional initiative involving three primary PSAPs
- \$425,000 for a regional initiative involving four primary PSAPs
- \$475,000 for a regional initiative involving five or more primary PSAPs
- \$500,000 for an initial consolidation project

Funding for the Enhancement Program, with the exception of Next Generation 9-1-1 projects, may be used to pay for no more than 80% of the cost associated with approved projects. Grantees must provide the remaining 20% funding. Funding for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program, Continuity and Consolidation Program and the Next Generation 9-1-1 projects within the Enhancement Program do not require a match. The exceptions to the 20% required match are regional initiatives and individual primary PSAPs granted an exemption by the Board because they are not able to comply with the match requirement. However, individual PSAPs granted a waiver for the required match may be responsible for additional requirements for an exempted grant award.

Grant Period

The grant period for successful Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program applicants will be for twelve months. The grant period for successful individual primary PSAP or regional initiative applicants will be for twenty-four months. The grant period for successful consolidation project applicants will be for thirty-six months. All grant periods will run consistent with the Commonwealth of Virginia's fiscal year, which begins on July 1 and ends on June 30.

Multi-Year Funding

This is an annual grant program and projects that can be completed within two years (or three for consolidations) are the primary focus. Therefore, this program cannot guarantee grant funding to sustain multi-year requests for the same project.

How to Apply/Deadline

The grant application will be available and accessible from VITA's Integrated Services Program's website (<http://www.vita.virginia.gov/isp/>). A reference manual for the application will also be available to assist primary PSAPs with the application process. Upon completion of the application, it is to be submitted to the PSAP Grant Manager as identified on the application form.

After submission, the PSAP Grant Manager will assign a Grant ID and send an e-mail notification to the project contact e-mail address listed on the application received.

All funding requests must be submitted using the grant application. In addition to the grant application reference manual, technical assistance is available from VITA's Public Safety Communications (PSC) staff throughout the grant process. The deadline to apply each year is October 31.

FUNDING GUIDELINES

Grant Cycle

The Board will accept primary PSAP grant applications each year from July 1 until October 31. Specifics about the grant program for the upcoming funding cycle will be announced no later than July 1 of each year. In order for a funding request from a grantee to be considered, the PSAP must have already submitted any required wireless true-up for the previous FY by the identified deadline, unless an exception has been granted by PSC Coordinator. VITA's Public Safety Communications staff will review grant applications for completeness and accuracy and forward applications to the Grant Committee. Applications will be reviewed by the Grant Committee from November 1 until December 31. The Grant Committee will make funding recommendations to the Board. The Board will review and approve applications no later than February 15 to coincide with local budget planning cycles. Final approval is at the discretion of the Board. Grantees will receive an electronic grant award notification by March 1. After the Grantees receive their notification, the Grant Committee will provide the Board with its recommendations for funding priorities and changes to the grant guideline for the upcoming grant cycle at the May Wireless E-911 Services Board meeting.

Draw down requests of Board-approved grant awards may be submitted by grantees beginning July 1. With the initial draw down request grantees must provide to the Board (or their designee), a firm fixed-price contract or purchase order, or, in the case of an equipment purchase, a firm fixed-price quote. Grantees do not need to wait until invoices are received or paid in order to recover costs. Grantees are encouraged to draw down their funds as soon as they receive their fixed-price contract, purchase order, or fixed-price quote. Upon the expenditure of funds, the grantee must provide invoices and/or other payment documentation to the Board staff (or their designee) for compliance with grant terms.

Grantees will be required to report financial and programmatic information and data semi-annually until the grant is closed. A final financial and programmatic report is required within 30 days of closing a project to include invoices or other payment documentation supporting funds expenditures. The final financial and programmatic report must also include how the Grantee met specific grant objectives set out in the grant award. With receipt of the final financial and programmatic report, additional draw downs against the award will not be allowed. Grant awards must be settled within 30 days of submission of the final financial and programmatic report.

Unexpended grant funds are to be returned to VITA via check made payable to "Treasurer of Virginia." The reference on the check must include "E-911 Wireless Services Board", the fiscal year the grant was awarded and the grant ID, if applicable. Payment is to be sent to:

Virginia Information Technologies Agency
ATTN: E911 Wireless Service Board
11751 Meadowville Lane
Chester, Virginia 23836

Furthermore, if a grant recipient has made a reasonable attempt to complete the project within the required time frame, but is unable to do so, an extension may be requested from the Board. Extension requests will be reviewed by the Grant Committee, which will make a recommendation to the Board based on the progress of the project. If reasonable progress is not reported, the Grant Committee may not recommend an extension. If the Board approves an extension request, a semi-annual financial and programmatic report will be required until the end of the extension period.

The Board shall audit the grant funding received by all recipients to ensure that it was utilized in accordance with the grant requirements. If it is determined that the funding was misused, or if the grant guidelines were not adhered to, the Commonwealth may take appropriate action to the extent permitted by law, including, and not limited to, requiring the return of the grant funds, and/or withholding future wireless payments.

Regional Initiatives

Any eligible primary PSAP may act as a "host applicant" in a funding request for a regional initiative. Regional initiatives require that one eligible primary PSAP act as the "host" for the initiative. The "host" will be the fiscal agent responsible for fulfilling all grant requirements such as reports, control of and accounting for funds, and distribution and control of equipment purchased with the grant award. All jurisdictions participating in a regional initiative must be identified in the grant application. A signed joint MOU must be provided by all of the participating localities with the regional grant application. PSAPs will not receive funding for more than one regional initiative grant in any one grant cycle.

Regional initiatives among PSAPs are encouraged. To the extent appropriate, primary PSAP applicants should address the following items in the grant application:

- the relationship of the initiative to the participating PSAPs;
- intended collaborative efforts;
- the geographic area that will be served by the initiative;
- resource sharing; and
- how the initiative impacts the operational or strategic plans of the participating agencies.

Consolidation Projects

A consolidation project is a specialized grant type which requires additional grant funding and a longer grant period than would ordinarily be available for an individual primary PSAP request or a regional initiative. Grant funding for a PSAP's initial consolidation project is a one-time only grant. In addition, all participating PSAPs receiving funding for a consolidation project may not apply for funding as an individual or regional initiative during the consolidation project until the consolidation grant has been fully executed (closed out). For three years from the date of closeout, the consolidated PSAP may not request funding for additional initial consolidation projects. A PSAP involved in an initial consolidation project may participate in a secondary consolidation project with other PSAPs only after the initial project has been closed and may request additional funding before the three-year time period has expired. However, the funding level for the secondary consolidation will be commensurate with a regional initiative. The only exception for funding would be an emergency appeal made by a single primary PSAP participating in an ongoing initial or secondary consolidation, faced with a critical need related to maintaining current operations, and not related to the consolidation effort. This appeal would need to be made directly to the Board and would be limited to \$150,000. Applicants need to submit the equivalent of the information requested in the application.

Primary PSAPs interested in obtaining grant funding for a consolidation project must first satisfy two prerequisites before submitting a grant request. These prerequisites are demonstrated local commitment and feasibility.

- First, the localities that govern the PSAPs involved in this consolidation must sign a Statement of Intent in support of consolidation.
- Second, a feasibility study must be completed that provides answers to the following questions:
 - How would a consolidation take place and provide improved service;
 - How should it be organized and staffed;
 - What services should it perform;
 - How should policies be made and changed;
 - How should it be funded; and,
 - What communication changes or improvements should be made in order to better support operations.

This feasibility study may be completed with local funds, staff assistance, financial support from VITA, or uncommitted grant funding at the discretion of the Board.

Similar to a regional initiative, one of the primary PSAPs participating in the consolidation project must act as the “host applicant” in a funding request. The “host” will be the fiscal agent responsible for fulfilling all grant requirements such as reports, control of and accounting for funds, and distribution and control of equipment purchased with the grant award. All jurisdictions participating in a consolidation project must be identified in the grant application. A signed joint MOU must be provided by all of the participating localities with the consolidation grant application.

Statement of Need

Funding requests for the Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement Programs must include a Statement of Need that the project is attempting to address. This statement should reference the relationship to the current funding priorities established by the Grant Committee and include evidence of any financial need. Documentation of need shall be established with facts and quantifiable data. In order to address the key elements related to need, the Statement of Need section on the grant application has a corresponding text box for the primary PSAP to address the relationship of the following items to their projects: identification of funding priorities; impact on operational services; consequences of not receiving funding; inclusion of project in a long-term or a strategic plan; likelihood of completing project without grant funding; availability of other funding source for project; percentage of grant funding request to total project costs; and, local sustainability.

Comprehensive Project Description

Each funding request for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program must include a description of how the education/training is 9-1-1 specific and how this will benefit **E-911 and** the employee(s) and/or PSAP.

Primary PSAPs seeking funding for their Wireless E-911 Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement projects must provide a thorough, concise, and complete description in the Comprehensive Project Description section on the grant application. At a minimum, this description shall outline goals and objectives, provide an implementation strategy and work plan, list the activities to be accomplished, include a timeline, and identify the longevity or sustainability of the project. The Comprehensive Grant Description section on the grant application has a corresponding text box for the primary PSAP to describe the items listed above.

In addition, depending on the grant project, primary PSAPs may want to provide more information such as:

- the interface or compatibility between existing equipment and/or software and that which you intend to purchase;
- the overall relationship of your project to established long-range future technologies plans; and,
- how the equipment purchased will support future technologies for PSAP readiness.

Budget Narrative

Each funding request for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program must include a breakdown of the funding allocation of the education/training opportunities.

Each funding request for the Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement Programs must include a budget for the total amount requested. In the Budget Narrative section of the grant application, list the planned expenditures to be made with grant funds. In lieu of a line item breakdown, an itemized cost schedule or detailed vendor prepared quote may be submitted as an attachment. Maintenance as an extended warranty may be included as a pre-paid option for an original purchase (up to 5 years) for items that are included in the priority list for the Continuity and Consolidation Program. Maintenance as a separate purchase is not eligible for funding. Supplanting is not permissible under the PSAP Grant Program.

In addition to providing a budget, applicants shall provide a corresponding narrative that explains the reason for each requested budget item and provides the basis for its cost. All items requested must be thoroughly justified and clearly related to the proposed project.

If this project will have ongoing expenses, such as monthly recurring charges, describe plans and specific sources for future/long-term funding within the Budget Narrative section. Primary PSAPs shall also demonstrate how the project will be sustained in the future without additional outside funding.

Evaluation Plan

Each Wireless E-911 PSAP Education proposal must include an evaluation plan that assures that the intended employees attend and receive value from the education/training.

Each Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement proposal must include an evaluation plan that demonstrates that the equipment or services listed on the grant application have been purchased, installed/implemented, and are performing as

expected. In many cases, this can be achieved with a brief statement in the Evaluation Plan section on the grant application. In addition, depending on the grant project, primary PSAPs may also want to identify the short-term, intermediate, and/or long-term outcomes, the measures used to determine outcomes, how data will be collected and evaluations conducted, and how data will be presented.

Review Process

The Grant Committee will evaluate all complete and eligible applications based on the substance of the application relative to the established funding tiers and priorities and make funding recommendations. The funding priorities and tiers serve as the criteria for evaluating applications and are established based on set priorities (see Appendices A, B, C and D). This methodology is a guideline only. The Committee has the discretion to make case by case evaluations and recommendations for approval or disapproval of all submitted grant applications. In addition to funding priorities, the Grant Committee will evaluate applications based on what is contained in the application, the relationship to wireless E-911, whether or not funding was received in the last grant cycle, sustainability, demonstrated financial need, and criticality, as well as other criteria developed by the Grant Committee and identified in conjunction with the announcement of the grant application period.

PROGRAM BRIEFS

WIRELESS E-911 PSAP EDUCATION PROGRAM

Purpose

The Board will provide funding to primary PSAPs for the purpose of the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program to provide 9-1-1 specific group education/training opportunities throughout the Commonwealth.

Funding Allocation

Each year, approved grants in this program will receive funding from the available funds in the PSAP Grant Program before the funding is split between the Continuity and Consolidation and Enhancement projects. Each primary PSAP may receive up to \$2,000 per twelve month cycle. This grant award is for twelve months only and is not eligible for grant extension.

Program Concept

The Board will provide funds to primary PSAPs for 9-1-1 specific group education/training opportunities within the Commonwealth of Virginia such as provided by VANENA, VAAPCO, VAPDC, VAMIS and other such organizations. The program will fund registration and lodging only.

Goals and Objectives

All primary PSAPs will take advantage of education/training opportunities to foster and enhance consistent knowledge and awareness of 9-1-1/public safety communications current and advancing standards, issues, procedures, practices, technologies and other relevant matters.

Implementation

The Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program uses a cost recovery method of funding. In the Comprehensive Project Description section of the grant application, the applicant

will provide an allocation of the funds to be used for 9-1-1/[public safety communications](#) specific group education/training opportunities throughout the Commonwealth. The grantee will pay the costs for the education/training. Within 30 days of the end of the education/training, the PSAP will submit all receipts for lodging and registration reimbursement in accordance with Commonwealth of Virginia travel regulations. If the request for reimbursement is not submitted within the allotted 30 days, reimbursement cannot be provided per Commonwealth guidelines.

Outcomes/Evaluation

Primary PSAPs shall describe in the Evaluation Plan section of the grant application an evaluation plan that assures that the intended employees attend and receive value from the education/training.

WIRELESS E-911 CONTINUITY AND CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM

Purpose

The Board will provide funding to primary PSAPs for projects designed to replace or upgrade wireless E-911 equipment and services that are out of service, without vendor support, technically outdated, or can no longer perform at an established minimum functional standard to sustain an acceptable level of service to the public. The Board will also provide funding for primary PSAPs to utilize in consolidation projects.

Funding Allocation

Each year, after the approved funds for the Wireless E-911 PSAP Education Program are identified, approved grants in this program will receive no less than 80% of the remaining available funds in the PSAP Grant Program. However, should all approved grants for the Continuity and Consolidation Program for a specific year be fully funded, then any funds left from the 80% in that same year may be allocated for other grant programs as deemed appropriate by the Committee and/or Board.

Program Concept

Grant funds may be requested for, but are not limited to the following wireless E-911 equipment and services: customer premise equipment (CPE); mapping display systems and related GIS services; call accounting equipment; voice recorders and logging systems; recruitment, training, and retention of PSAP personnel; integrated 911 call processing systems; and, internal network improvements that support wireless E-911 equipment and services. A Continuity and Consolidation priority list of equipment and services will be developed each funding cycle, and certain equipment should be NG-911 enabled, if available.

Goals and Objectives

Primary PSAPs that submit funding requests for projects shall include goals and objectives relevant to the purchase of equipment and/or services that support the continuity of wireless E-911 and the identified need described in the grant application.

Implementation

Primary PSAPs shall describe in the Comprehensive Project Description section of the grant application the goals and objectives, an implementation strategy and work plan, the activities to be accomplished, a timeline, and the longevity or sustainability of the project.

Outcomes/Evaluation

Primary PSAPs shall describe in the Evaluation Plan section of the grant application an evaluation plan that demonstrates that the equipment or services listed on the grant application have been purchased, installed/implemented, and are performing as expected. In many cases, this can be achieved with a brief statement.

WIRELESS E-911 ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

Purpose

The Board will provide funds to primary PSAPs for projects designed to strengthen current wireless E-911 operations through equipment, PSAP staff development, or service beyond that PSAP's current capabilities.

Funding Allocation

Each year, this program will receive the remaining percentage of the available funds in the PSAP Grant Program.

Program Concept

Grant funds may be requested, but are not limited to the following initiatives: Next Generation 9-1-1 (network/backbone or IP-based), future technologies beneficial to wireless E-911 and disaster and redundancy planning and technology. An Enhancement priority list of equipment and services will be developed each funding cycle.

Goals and Objectives

Primary PSAPs that submit funding requests for projects shall include goals and objectives relevant to the purchase of equipment and/or services that support the enhancement of wireless E-911 and the identified need described in the grant application.

Implementation

Primary PSAPs shall describe in the Comprehensive Project Description section of the grant application the goals and objectives, an implementation strategy and work plan, the activities to be accomplished, a timeline, and the longevity or sustainability of the project.

Outcomes/Evaluation

Primary PSAPs shall describe in the Evaluation Plan section of the grant application an evaluation plan that demonstrates that the equipment or services listed on the grant application have been purchased, installed/implemented, and are performing as expected. In many cases, this can be achieved with a brief statement.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: TIERS

Out of Service

Replacement of inoperable wireless E-911 equipment or software to enable primary PSAPs to maintain current service levels

Non-Vendor Supported

Replacement of wireless E-911 equipment, service, or software, which is no longer supported by manufacturing vendor, to enable primary PSAPs to maintain current service levels

Technically Outdated

Replacement of wireless E-911 equipment or software, which no longer meets current functional standards of the PSAP, such as software that is two or more versions behind or equipment beyond normal lifecycle

Strengthen

Upgrade current equipment and/or services beyond the current functional standards of the PSAP

APPENDIX B: PRIORITIES

Continuity and Consolidation Program

1. CPE*
2. Primary mapping system servers and workstations*
3. GIS: high priority*
4. Call accounting equipment*
5. CAD*
6. Voice logging recorder systems and instant recall recorders (call check)*
7. PSAP Group Training Program (includes public education)
8. PSAP Group Training Program (hardware and software**)
9. PSAP Individual Training Program**
10. GIS: medium priority
11. PSAP Recruitment Programs
12. PSAP Recruitment Programs (hardware and software)
13. Time sync
14. UPS/generator - (specific supporting PSAP only)
15. GIS: low priority
16. Other

Non-allowable items: (not inclusive) bricks and mortar, outside security, card key systems, field equipment and applications (except for GIS mapping maintenance), furniture, personnel salaries and benefits.

Enhancement Program

1. Next Generation (such as IP Gateway for PSAP compliant with NENA NG 9-1-1 standard)
2. GIS: high priority
3. Transportable back-up for PSAP primary operational items (excluding radio consoles)
4. Fixed back-up for primary PSAP operational items (excluding radio consoles)
5. GIS: medium priority
6. Radio consoles (primary or back-up)
7. EMD
8. GIS: low priority
9. Other

* Hardware and software should be NG 9-1-1 enable, if available.

** Includes hardware and software that will be repeatedly used by a group of persons, even if they may use hardware/software one (1) at a time or in a small group.

** Includes conferences and attendance of less than 10 persons to off-site training with priority given to in-state and multiple attendee training versus a single person going to an out of state conference.

**APPENDIX C: CONTINUITY AND CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM
RANKINGS**

| Ranking for Continuity and Consolidation Program | | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| Rank | Priority | Tier |
| 1 | CPE | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 2 | CPE | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 3 | CPE | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 4 | PRIMARY MAPPING SYSTEM SERVERS AND WORKSTATIONS | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 5 | PRIMARY MAPPING SYSTEM SERVERS AND WORKSTATIONS | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 6 | PRIMARY MAPPING SYSTEM SERVERS AND WORKSTATIONS | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 7 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 8 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 9 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 10 | CONSOLIDATION | N/A |
| 11 | CALL ACCOUNTING EQUIPMENT | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 12 | CALL ACCOUNTING EQUIPMENT | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 13 | CALL ACCOUNTING EQUIPMENT | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 14 | CAD | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 15 | CAD | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 16 | CAD | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 17 | VOICE LOGGING RECORDER SYSTEMS AND INSTANT RECALL RECORDER | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 18 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 19 | VOICE LOGGING RECORDER SYSTEMS AND INSTANT RECALL RECORDER | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 20 | PSAP TRAINING PROGRAM | N/A |
| 21 | PSAP TRAINING HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 22 | PSAP TRAINING HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 23 | PSAP INDIVIDUAL TRAINING | N/A |
| 24 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR |

| Ranking for Continuity and Consolidation Program | | |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Rank | Priority | Tier |
| | | SUPPORTED |
| 25 | CPE | STRENGTHEN |
| 26 | PRIMARY MAPPING SYSTEM SERVERS AND WORKSTATIONS | STRENGTHEN |
| 27 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 28 | CALL ACCOUNTING EQUIPMENT | STRENGTHEN |
| 29 | CAD | STRENGTHEN |
| 30 | VOICE LOGGING RECORDER SYSTEMS AND INSTANT RECALL RECORDER | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 31 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 32 | PSAP TRAINING HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 33 | PSAP RECRUITMENT PROGRAM | N/A |
| 34 | PSAP RECRUITMENT HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 35 | PSAP RECRUITMENT HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 36 | PSAP RECRUITMENT HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 37 | TIME SYNC | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 38 | TIME SYNC | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 39 | TIME SYNC | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 40 | UPS/GENERATOR | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 41 | UPS/GENERATOR | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 42 | UPS/GENERATOR | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 43 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 44 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 45 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 46 | OTHER | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 47 | OTHER | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 48 | OTHER | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 49 | VOICE LOGGING RECORDER SYSTEMS AND INSTANT RECALL RECORDER | STRENGTHEN |

| Ranking for Continuity and Consolidation Program | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Rank | Priority | Tier |
| 50 | PSAP TRAINING HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | STRENGTHEN |
| 51 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 52 | PSAP RECRUITMENT HARDWARE/SOFTWARE | STRENGTHEN |
| 53 | TIME SYNCHRONIZATION | STRENGTHEN |
| 54 | UPS/GENERATOR | STRENGTHEN |
| 55 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 56 | OTHER | STRENGTHEN |

APPENDIX D: ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM RANKINGS

| Rankings for Enhancement Program | | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Rank | Priority | Tier |
| 1 | Next Generation 9-1-1 (network/backbone – IP hosting) | N/A |
| 2 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 3 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 4 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 5 | TRANSPORTABLE BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 6 | TRANSPORTABLE BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 7 | TRANSPORTABLE BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 8 | FIXED BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 9 | FIXED BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 10 | FIXED BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 11 | TRANSPORTABLE BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | STRENGTHEN |
| 12 | FIXED BACK-UP FOR PSAP PRIMARY OPERATIONAL ITEMS | STRENGTHEN |
| 13 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 14 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 15 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 16 | RADIO CONSOLES | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 17 | RADIO CONSOLES | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 18 | RADIO CONSOLES | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 19 | GIS: HIGH PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 20 | GIS: MEDIUM PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 21 | RADIO CONSOLES | STRENGTHEN |
| 22 | EMD/POLICE DISPATCH/FIRE DISPATCH SOFTWARE OR PROTOCOLS (NOT FUNDED THROUGH ANOTHER GRANT PROGRAM) | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 23 | EMD/POLICE DISPATCH/FIRE DISPATCH SOFTWARE OR PROTOCOLS (NOT FUNDED THROUGH ANOTHER GRANT PROGRAM) | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |

| | | |
|----|---|----------------------|
| | PROGRAM | |
| 24 | EMD/POLICE DISPATCH/FIRE DISPATCH SOFTWARE OR PROTOCOLS (NOT FUNDED THROUGH ANOTHER GRANT PROGRAM | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 25 | EMD/POLICE DISPATCH/FIRE DISPATCH SOFTWARE OR PROTOCOLS (NOT FUNDED THROUGH ANOTHER GRANT PROGRAM | STRENGTHEN |
| 26 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 27 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 28 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 29 | GIS: LOW PRIORITY | STRENGTHEN |
| 30 | OTHER ENHANCEMENT ITEMS | OUT OF SERVICE |
| 31 | OTHER ENHANCEMENT ITEMS | NON-VENDOR SUPPORTED |
| 32 | OTHER ENHANCEMENT ITEMS | TECHNICALLY OUTDATED |
| 33 | OTHER ENHANCEMENT ITEMS | STRENGTHEN |

APPENDIX F: FINANCIAL AND PROGRAMMATIC REPORT

Project Phases Sample Activities

| PHASE | SAMPLE ACTIVITIES |
|---|--|
| INITIATION (Project approved by appropriate stakeholders) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Project concept is documented• Local Board or governing authority approval or endorsement is received• PSAP grant application is filed• Local budgets are obtained• Appropriated grant funds are approved• Budgetary estimates are obtained |
| DESIGN/PLANNING (Project, system, or solution requirements are developed) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Requirements are documented• Components to be purchased are identified• General design is documented |
| ACQUISITION (Selected system or solution is procured) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• RFP (or other bid related processes) are drafted• Proposals are evaluated• Contract is signed• Purchase orders are issued• Quotes are obtained/grant funds draw down |
| IMPLEMENTATION (Selected system or solution is configured and installed) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Purchased components are delivered and installed• Training is performed |
| TESTING/COMPLETION (Selected system or solution is tested and put in production) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Performance of system/solution is validated• System/solution goes "live" |
| PROJECT/GRANT CLOSED (Project or grant has been closed by submittal of all invoices or other payment documentation supporting the amount drawn down AND a final Financial and Programmatic Report has been submitted) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• All invoices or other payment documentation has been submitted to the PSAP Grant Manager• A final Financial and Programmatic Report has been submitted• Signature has been provided on the final Financial and Programmatic Report |
| DECLINED GRANT AWARD (PSAP is unable to utilize grant award) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Local fund required to complete the project cannot be obtained• Project was contingent upon another grant application award, which has been denied• Signature has been provided on the final Financial and Programmatic Report |