

draft to the Committee members for their input. Based on the input received from the Committee members and their observations, staff recommended the following:

- Remove Consolidation as a Tier and address in Priorities and Rankings
- Adjust Project Rankings to have Continuity and Consolidation Program Priorities 1-6 ranked consecutively for the Out of Service and Non-Vendor Supported Tiers
- Assign Consolidation Projects to Rank #13 and Consolidation Feasibility to Rank #20
- Review and apply any changes to be made as a result of the proposed changes to the Tiers, Priorities, and Rankings

Jerry expressed concerned that with the proposed changes applied to the FY14 applications, he noticed that one priority type, CPE – technically outdated, was split, or not fully funded. He stated, however, that he did understand these changes were the best possible solution given current funding availability. Dorothy said that for now, we should move forward with the proposed changes, but address any anomalies down the road as they come up during the grant application review process.

Mike wanted to know how the rest of the Committee felt with the consolidations being ranked higher than critically technically outdated priorities. Allan said with technically outdated, there is no end of life notice, as with non-vendor supported, and those components are still functional. Lisa read the actual definition of technically outdated and this satisfied his concern about the ranking. Allan emphasized that he understood the concern that some of the technically outdated CPEs would not have been funded with the proposed changes. However, technically outdated can wait another year for application.

After minimal additional discussion, the Committee agreed to accept staff's recommended changes to the proposed changes made at the April meeting.

Consolidations – Impact from Changes

Dorothy advised that consolidation feasibility studies be capped at \$50,000. Donna said that depending on the population and size of the locality, she didn't feel it should capped that low for the total project. However, she agreed that there should be some "buy in" on the localities' part. Vice-Chairman suggested having a 20% local match for the consolidation feasibility study up to \$150,000. There was a consensus among the Committee with this suggestion.

As a result of the Consolidation priority being added at a rank of 4 on the priority list and the rank of 13 in the Rankings list, the Committee felt the proposed change met the initial overall intent to ensure Consolidation were given higher priority for future applications.

Next Meeting Date

Dorothy said there are a number of activities being worked on that directly impact the Committee or would involve the Committee. She recommended reconvening prior to the normal December meeting to review these additional items. One item for discussion is an analysis of the statewide NG pilots. The Committee agreed to meet on August 14 – 15, 2013. Location to be announced.

Public Comment

Vice-Chairman Diggs called for public comments. There were none.

Meeting Adjournment

Vice-Chairman Diggs called for a motion to adjourn the meeting of the E911 Services Board at 10:44 am. All approved and the meeting was adjourned.